ORIGINAL PAPER

Molecular structure and vibrational and chemical shift assignments of 5-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-4-(p-tolyl)-2, 4-dihydro-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione by DFT and *ab initio* HF calculations

Muharrem Dinçer • Davut Avcı • Mehmet Şekerci • Yusuf Atalay

Received: 18 December 2007 / Accepted: 26 May 2008 / Published online: 26 June 2008 © Springer-Verlag 2008

Abstract The molecular geometry, vibrational frequencies, gauge including atomic orbital (GIAO) ¹H and ¹³C chemical shift values and several thermodynamic parameters of 5-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-4-(p-tolyl)-2,4-dihydro-1,2,4-triazole-3thione in the ground state have been calculated by using the Hartree-Fock (HF) and density functional method (DFT/ B3LYP) with 6-31G(d), 6-31 + G(d,p) and LANL2DZ basis sets. The results of the optimized molecular structure are presented and compared with the experimental X-ray diffraction. The computed vibrational frequencies are used to determine the types of molecular motions associated with each of the experimental bands observed. Also, calculated ¹H chemical shift values compared with the experimental ones. The data of the title compound display significant molecular structure and IR, NMR analysis provide the basis for future design of efficient materials having the of 1,2,4-triazole core.

Keywords 5-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-4-(p-tolyl)-2,4-dihydro-1,2,4-triazole-3-thione \cdot DFT \cdot HF \cdot GIAO \cdot ¹H \cdot ¹³C NMR \cdot IR spectra \cdot Structure elucidation \cdot Vibrational assignment

M. Dincer Department of Physics, Faculty of Art and Science, Ondokuz Mayıs University, 55139 Samsun, Turkey

D. Avcı · Y. Atalay (⊠) Department of Physics, Faculty of Art and Science, Sakarya University, 54140 Adapazarı, Turkey e-mail: yatalay@sakarya.edu.tr

M. Şekerci Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Art and Science, Fırat University, 23119 Elazığ, Turkey

Introduction

1,2,4-Triazoles are very useful ligands in coordination chemistry. Besides, derivatives of 1,2,4-triazole have been reported to exhibit diverse biological activities [1–7]. Derivatives of 4,5-disubstituted 1,2,4-triazole were synthesized by intramolecular cyclization of 1,4-disubstituted thiosemicarbazides [8, 9]. Furthermore, the electronic structures and thiol-thione tautomeric equilibrium of heterocyclic thione derivatives have been studied previously [10–13].

The aim of the present work is to describe and characterize the molecular structure, vibrational properties and chemical shifts on 5-(2-Hydroxyphenyl)-4-(p-tolyl)-2,4-dihydro-1,2,4triazole-3-thione crystalline-structure. A number of papers have recently appeared in the literature concerning the calculation of NMR chemical shift (c.s.) by quantum-chemistry methods [14–19]. These papers indicate that geometry optimization is a crucial factor in an accurate determination of computed NMR chemical shift. Moreover, it is known that the DFT (B3LYP) method adequately takes into account electron correlation contributions, which are especially important in systems containing extensive electron conjugation and/or electron lone pairs. However, considering that as molecular size increases, computing-time also increases. To optimize computing-time the DFT level was used. It was proposed that the single-point calculation of magnetic shielding by DFT methods was combined with a fast and reliable geometry-optimization procedure at the molecular mechanics level [18].

The gauge-including atomic orbital (GIAO) [20, 21] method is one of the most common approaches for calculating nuclear magnetic shielding tensors. It has been shown to provide results that are often more accurate than those calculated with other approaches, at the same basis set size

[22]. In most cases, in order to take into account correlation effects, post-Hartree-Fock calculations of organic molecules have been performed using (i) Møller-Plesset perturbation methods, which are very time consuming and hence applicable only to small molecular systems, and (ii) density functional theory (DFT) methods, which usually provide significant results at a relatively low computational cost [23]. In this regard, DFT methods have been preferred in the study of large organic molecules [24], metal complexes [25] and organometallic compounds [26] and for GIAO ¹³C c.s. calculations [22] in all those cases in which the electron correlation contributions were not negligible.

In previous publication, IR and ¹H NMR spectra (in the DMSO- d_6 solution) and the crystal structure of the title compound had been studied [7]. To the best of our knowledge, no estimates of theoretical results for the title compound have been reported so far. In this study, the geometrical parameters, fundamental frequencies and GIAO ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of the title compound in the ground state have been calculated by using the HF and DFT (B3LYP) method with 6–31G(d), 6–31 + G(d,p) and LANL2DZ basis sets. A comparison of the experimental and theoretical spectra can be very useful in making correct assignments and understanding the basic chemical shift-molecular structure relationship. And so, these calculations are valuable for providing insight into molecular analysis.

Computational details

The molecular structures of the title compound in the ground state (in vacuo) are optimized HF and B3LYP with 6-31G(d), 6-31 + G(d,p) and LANL2DZ basis sets. Then vibrational frequencies for optimized molecular structures have been calculated. The vibrational frequencies for these species are scaled by 0.8929 and 0.9613, 0.89 and 0.96, 0.9393 and 0.9978, respectively. The geometry of the title compounds, together with that of tetramethylsilane (TMS) is fully opti-

mized. ¹H and ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are calculated within GIAO approach [20, 21] applying B3LYP and HF method [27] with 6–31G(d) [28], 6–31 + G(d,p) [29] and LANL2DZ [30-32] basis sets. Generally, reliable predictions of optimized geometrical parameters, frequencies and chemical shifts require several elements: adequate basis sets, sufficient electron correlation effects. Besides, the choice of the basis set is also a critical point in any computational study on molecular properties. In order to investigate the basis set effect on result, we take in to account 3 types of basis functions: (i) 6-31G(d) for checking polarization function effect, (ii) 6-31 + G(d,p) for checking both polarization function and diffuse effects, and (iii) LANL2DZ for checking examine some effective core potentials. The theoretical chemical shift values of ¹H and ¹³C were obtained by subtracting the GIAO isotropic magnetic shielding (IMS) values [33, 34]. For instance, the average ¹³C IMS of TMS are taken into account for the calculation of ¹³C c.s. of any X carbon atom, and so c.s. can be calculated using the following equation $CS_x = IMS_{TMS}-IMS_x$. All the calculations are performed by using Gauss-View molecular visualisation program [35] and Gaussian 98 program package on personal computer [36].

Results and discussion

Geometrical structure

The atomic numbering scheme for the title compound crystal [7] and the theoretical geometric structure of the title compound are shown in Fig. 1a–b. The crystal structure of the title compound is monoclinic and space group is C2/c. The crystal structure parameters of the title compound are a=26.524 (3) Å, b=11.3288 (18) Å, c=9.2310 (11) Å, β =104.643(9)° and V=2683.7(6) Å ³ [7].

The optimized parameters (bond lengths and angles, and dihedral angles) of the title compound have been obtained at the HF and B3LYP methods with the basis sets. These results



Fig. 1 (a) The experimental geometric structure of the title compound ($C_{15}H_{13}N_3OS$) [7] (b) The theoretical geometric structure of the title compound ($C_{15}H_{13}N_3OS$) (with HF/6–31G(d) level). (c) The theoret-

ical geometric structure of the title compound $(C_{15}H_{13}N_3OS)$ (with B3LYP/LANL2DZ level)

are listed in Table 1 and compared with the experimental data of the title compound. The molecular structure of the title compound is non-planar and is shown in Fig. 1a–b. An intramolecular O-H…N hydrogen bond [O-H 0.82 Å, H…N

1.88 (3) Å and O-H···N 141.6 $(2)^{\circ}$] exists between the hydroxyphenyl group and the triazole N atom [7]. The bond distance and angle are only found to be 1.682 Å and 142.4° for B3LYP/LANL2DZ level. However, the other calcula-

Table 1 Optimized and experimental geometries parameters of the title compound ($C_{15}H_{13}N_3OS$) in the ground state

Parameters	Exp. [7]	Calculated								
		HF			B3LYP					
		6–31G(d)	6–31 + G(d,p)	LANL2DZ	6–31G(d)	6–31 + G(d,p)	LANL2DZ			
Bond lengths (Å)										
S(1)-C(8)	1.671(3)	1.672	1.673	1.719	1.665	1.665	1.709			
O(1)-C(1)	1.353(3)	1.339	1.338	1.365	1.349	1.352	1.376			
N(1)-C(9)	1.445(3)	1.433	1.434	1.439	1.454	1.437	1.445			
C(6)-C(7)	1.464(4)	1.475	1.476	1.473	1.465	1.466	1.467			
C(12)-C(15)	1.507(4)	1.511	1.510	1.514	1.510	1.511	1.517			
C(8)-N(1)	1.382(3)	1.371	1.370	1.384	1.401	1.417	1.401			
C(8)-N(3)	1.336(4)	1.327	1.327	1.342	1.358	1.375	1.359			
C(7)-N(2)	1.308(3)	1.279	1.277	1.301	1.310	1.343	1.317			
O(1)-H	0.820	0.951	0.948	0.959	1.005	0.982	1.005			
N(3)-H	0.860	0.994	0.993	0.991	1.009	1.009	1.009			
С(2)-Н	0.930	1.074	1.074	1.070	1.085	1.085	1.085			
С(3)-Н	0.930	1.075	1.076	1.072	1.087	1.086	1.087			
С(4)-Н	0.930	1.074	1.074	1.071	1.086	1.085	1.086			
С(5)-Н	0.930	1.072	1.072	1.068	1.082	1.082	1.082			
С(10)-Н	0.930	1.074	1.074	1.071	1.086	1.085	1.086			
С(11)-Н	0.930	1.076	1.076	1.073	1.088	1.087	1.088			
С(13)-Н	0.930	1.075	1.076	1.072	1.088	1.087	1.088			
С(14)-Н	0.930	1.074	1.075	1.072	1.086	1.085	1.086			
С(15)-Н	0.960	1.085	1.085	1.084	1.097	1.095	1.097			
Bond angles (°)										
C(8)-N(1)-C(9)	121.2 (8)	123.9	123.9	122.9	122.5	122.3	121.8			
O(1)-C(1)-C(6)	123.4 (2)	124.0	124.0	124.0	123.9	123.7	123.3			
N(2)-C(7)-C(6)	121.4 (2)	122.8	122.9	122.3	121.8	121.8	120.9			
N(3)-C(8)-S(1)	128.4 (2)	127.1	127.1	127.1	127.9	127.9	127.9			
C(11)-C(12)-C(15)	122.0 (3)	120.5	120.6	120.7	120.9	120.7	120.7			
N(2)-N(3)-H	123.3	121.1	121.3	121.0	120.9	121.0	120.8			
С(1)-О(1)-Н	109.5	111.3	111.7	115.0	109.2	109.6	110.9			
Н-С(15)-Н	109.5	107.6	108.1	108.1	108.1	108.1	110.1			
С(12)-С(13)-Н	119.5	119.8	119.8	119.8	119.6	119.6	119.5			
С(9)-С(10)-Н	119.5	119.9	119.9	119.9	119.8	119.8	119.8			
С(6)-С(5)-Н	119.2	119.4	119.4	119.9	119.4	119.5	119.9			
Dihedral angles (°)										
O(1)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	-177.9 (3)	-179.0	-179.0	-178.9	-178.3	-178.4	-179.9			
O(1)-C(1)-C(6)-C(5)	177.6 (3)	178.2	178.2	177.9	177.4	177.6	179.9			
O(1)-C(1)-C(6)-C(7)	-1.2 (4)	-0.3	-0.1	-0.4	-1.8	-1.4	-0.002			
C(1)-C(6)-C(7)-N(2)	9.2 (4)	32.3	32.4	19.9	18.2	16.5	0.008			
C(1)-C(6)-C(7)-N(1)	-170.7 (3)	-146.3	-146.3	-159.1	-161.2	-162.9	-179.9			
N(2)-N(3)-C(8)-S(1)	-177.9 (2)	-179.8	-179.9	-179.7	-179.7	-179.9	-180.0			
C(8)-N(1)-C(9)-C(10)	95.0 (3)	74.4	75.4	77.2	75.3	78.1	88.8			
C(10)-C(11)-C(12)-C(15)	177.8 (3)	178.8	178.6	178.4	178.6	178.6	178.3			
C(15)-C(12)-C(13)-C(14)	-178.7 (3)	-178.5	-178.3	-178.2	-178.9	-178.3	-178.3			
С(2)-С(1)-О(1)-Н	-	166.4	166.0	168.9	173.4	173.3	179.9			
C(6)-C(1)-O(1)-H	-	-14.4	-14.9	-11.6	-6.8	-6.9	-0.005			
S(1)-C(8)-N(3)-H	-	-1.9	-1.6	-0.8	-1.8	-1.4	-0.002			
C(7)-N(2)-N(3)-H	-	-178.4	-178.7	-179.2	-178.6	-179.1	-180.0			

tions are not observed. Also, this difference is illustrated in Fig. 1c. The dihedral angle between the five-membered 2,4dihydro-1,2,4-triazole ring and the hydroxyphenyl group is 10.18 (1)°. The p-tolyl moiety were almost perpendicular to both the 2,4-dihydro-1,2,4-triazole group and the hydroxyphenyl group, with dihedral angles of 84.42 (1) and 85.54 (1)°, respectively [7]. The orientation of the substitutents with respect to the 1,2,4-triazole ring is determined by the torsion angles C1-C6-C7-N2 [9.2 (4)°], N2-N3-C8-S1 [-177.1 (2)°], C8-N1-C9-C10 [95.0 (3)°] and C10-C11-C12-C15 [177.8 (3)°] [7]. These torsion angles have been calculated at 32.3° , -179.8° , 74.4° and 178.8° for HF/6–31G(d) level, 18.2° , 179.7° , 75.3° and 178.6° for B3LYP/6–31G(d) level, respectively.

The different substitutents dependent on the 1,2,4triazole ring is defined by the bond lengths N1-C9 [1.445 (3) Ű] and C6-C7 [1.464 (4) Ű] [7]. Herein these bond lengths have been calculated at 1.433 Å and 1.475 Å for HF/6-31G(d) level, and 1.454 Å and 1.465 Å for B3LYP/ 6-31G(d) level. In previous works, the S = C bond length was found to be 1.6797(19) Å [37] and 1.669(2) Å for the different substituent dependent on the 1,2,4-triazole ring [38]. In the triazole ring, the bond lengths of C = N and N-N were obtained to be 1.295(2) Å and 1.376(2) Å [37], and 1.313(2) Å and 1.377(2) Å [38]. In the present paper, these distances are 1.270 and 1.355 Å for HF/6-31 + G(d,p)level, 1.317 and 1.364 Å for B3LYP/6-31 + G(d,p) level. In the triazole ring, the C(7)-N(2) double bond distance is significantly shorter than the C(8)-N(1) and C(8)-N(1) bonds. This statement is attributed to the presence of delocalization between the lone pair on atom N(3) and C(8) = S(1) double bound, and also to electronic factors associated with the large electron density substituents. In this relation, these results are consistent with the respect to the different 1,2,4-triazole derivatives [37, 38]. Furthermore, C(8)-N(1)-C(9) and O(1)-C(1)-C(6) bond angles for the title compound were observed to be 121.8 (2)° and 123.4 (2)° [7], these angle values have been calculated at 123.9°, 122.5, 124.0°, 123.9 by using HF and B3LYP with 6-31G(d) basis set, respectively, as can be seen in Table 1. The optimized geometric parameter other values of the title compound are shown in Table 1. For the optimized geometric parameters, various methods including HF method estimated some bond lengths well to some extent [39–43]. We noted that the experimental results belong to the solid phase and theoretical calculations belong to the gaseous phase. As a result, the B3LYP method for geometric parameters is much closer to experimental data.

Assignments of the vibration modes

Based on optimized geometries, the vibrational frequencies heve been performed by the same methods and basis sets as. Our calculations of the title compound are compared to the experimental results. The bands calculated in the measured region 4000–400 cm⁻¹ arise from the vibrations of O-H, N-H stretching, methyl asymmetric and symmetric stretching, and the internal vibrations, etc. of the title compound. The vibrational bands assignments have been made by using Gauss-View molecular visualisation program [35]. Theoretical and experimental results of the title compound are shown in Table 2. Most bands observed in infrared spectra of the title compound belong to p-tolyl and 2-hydroxy phenyl groups' modes, only some of them may be assigned to group ring C-H (symmetric/asymmetric) and C-C stretching. These bands have been calculated at 3053-2864, 3029-2836, 3250-3009 and 1626-1581, 1612-1566, 1703-1636 cm⁻¹ for HF levels, and 3122-2928, 3115-2917, 3236-3033 and 1605–1524, 1593–1512, 1659–1550 cm⁻¹ for B3LYP levels. In the triazole, the N-H and C = N stretching modes were observed to be 3448, 1504 cm⁻¹ [38], 3240 and 1622 cm⁻¹ [7] as experimentally, and 3483 and 1508 cm^{-1} for B3LYP/ 6-311G(d,p) level, and 3483 cm⁻¹ for HF/6-311G(d,p) level [38]. Here these modes are found to be 3507 and 1638 for HF/6–31G(d) level, and 3332 and 1613 cm^{-1} for B3LYP/6– 31G(d) level. Also, the other levels of calculations can be seen in Table 2. These results indicated some band shifts with regard to the different substituent-triazole ring.

Other essential characteristic vibrations of the title compound are O-H, N-H, N-S = C, C = N, N-C, N-N, S = C stretching. Some of these modes, corresponding to the experimental modes, such as O-H, N-H, C = N and N-S = C stretching were observed to be 3390, 3240, 1622 and 1502 cm⁻¹ [7]. These modes have been calculated at 3597, 3507, 1638, 1495 using HF/6–31G(d) level and 3533, 3332, 1613 1483 cm⁻¹ using B3LYP/6–31G(d) level, these result from different substituent atoms or atom groups in the molecular structure. For other assignment of internal vibrations of the title compound can be seen Table 2 and Fig. 2.

Calculated infrared intensity (Rel. intensity) allows determination of the strength of the transition. Note that experimental IR spectra are generally reported in either percent transmission or absorbance unit. Apparently, these mentioned can be seen in Fig. 2. In Hartree-Fock, all the vibrational frequencies are overestimated and in agreement with the 10-20% error in the average of overall the frequencies [44, 45]. This overestimation depends on the type of the vibrational mode and the wavenumber range. In general, the DFT methods have the wavenumbers relatively close to the experimental result. According to the different molecular structures which have many electrons, this statement can be changed. In our results, the O-H and N-H stretching modes are estimated with the ~8.0 and ~10.0% error in the average for HF levels and ~6.0 and $\sim 4.0\%$ error in the average for B3LYP levels. The other modes are estimated in the smaller error range. Besides, as can be seen Fig. 2, simulated infrared spectra are generally closer to percent transmission in the experimental IR spectrum.

Table 2 Comparison of the observed and calculated vibrational spectra of the title compound $(C_{15}H_{13}N_3OS)$

Assignments	FT-IR	HF					B3LYP						
	$[7] (cm^{-1})$	6–31G(d)		6–31 + G(d.p)		LANL2	2DZ	6–31G((d)	6–31 + G(d.p)		LANL2DZ	
		Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b	Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b	Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b	Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b	Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b	Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b
ν O-H str.	3390	3597	0.72	3639	0.7	3723	0.63	3533	0.37	3536	0.35	3706	0.32
ν N-H str.	3240	3507	0.57	3499	0.55	3694	0.74	3332	1	3350	1	3266	0.01
ν ring C-H str.	-	3053	0.02	3029	0.01	3250	0.01	3122	0.01	3115	0.01	3236	0.03
ν ring C-H str.	-	3038	0.04	3012	0.03	3228	0.05	3100	0.01	3087	0.02	3223	0
ν ring C-H str.	-	3035	0.01	3008	0.01	3212	0.01	3097	0.04	3087	0	3218	0.03
ν ring C-H str.	-	3028	0.03	3003	0.03	3210	0.07	3091	0.02	3081	0.01	3218	0.03
ν ring C-H str.	3086	3026	0.05	3002	0.02	3207	0.04	3087	0.04	3079	0.03	3197	0.01
ν ring C-H str.	3074	3009	0.02	2985	0.01	3191	0.02	3070	0.02	3062	0.01	3194	0.04
ν ring C-H str.	3059	3007	0.04	2983	0.04	3185	0.06	3068	0.04	3058	0.03	3191	0.02
ν ring C-H str.	3036	3005	0.05	2981	0.04	3184	0.04	3067	0.03	3057	0.03	3186	1
ν_{as} CH ₂ sym str.	3017	2934	0.02	2908	0.06	3098	0.09	3008	0.04	2999	0.04	3135	0.05
ν_{as} CH ₃ sym str.	2992	2915	0.08	2889	0.06	3080	0.09	2984	0.05	2973	0.03	3109	0.05
ν_s CH ₃ sym str.	2922	2864	0.11	2836	0.11	3009	0.15	2928	0.08	2917	0.07	3033	0.07
ν N = C + ring C-C str.	1622	1638	0.54	1625	0.55	1709	0.36	1613	0.15	1599	0.14	1668	0.15
ν ring C-C str.	1619	1626	0	1612	0	1703	0.01	1605	0.01	1593	0.02	1659	0.01
ν ring C-C str.	1584	1610	0.18	1596	0.13	1667	0.08	1575	0.18	1562	0.04	1625	0
ν ring C-C str.	-	1589	0.03	1575	0.02	1663	0.22	1573	0.08	1560	0.16	1619	0.18
ν ring C-C str.	1540	1581	0.16	1566	0.17	1636	0.15	1524	0.22	1512	0.2	1550	0.09
ν N-ring C str.	1514	1518	0.39	1505	0.41	1587	0.32	1504	0.14	1491	0.14	1545	0.18
ν N-S = C str.	1502	1495	1	1483	1	1556	0.36	1483	0.36	1467	0.27	1515	0.02
β ring CH bend.	1487	1487	0.7	1474	0.55	1545	0.02	1462	0.02	1439	0.25	1515	0.31
β CH ₃ bend.	1422	1463	0.03	1439	0.02	1542	0.1	1459	0.01	1437	0.35	1513	0.03
β CH ₃ bend.	-	1459	0.02	1437	0.08	1540	1	1453	0.33	1433	0.02	1498	0.11
β ring CH bend.	-	1454	0.07	1435	0.02	1518	0.03	1443	0.81	1431	0.45	1469	0.64
β ring CH bend.	1404	1403	0.03	1388	0.03	1482	0.02	1400	0.03	1386	0.01	1445	0.03
o CH ₂ wag.	-	1400	0	1380	0.13	1466	0.01	1398	0.09	1381	0.07	1441	0.1
ν N-C str.	1390	1391	0.14	1377	0	1447	0.11	1387	0	1363	0.01	1437	0.01
ρ O-H + C-H rock.	-	1343	0.37	1325	0.28	1408	0.39	1365	0.42	1354	0.35	1415	0.32
ν N-C str.	1327	1318	0.53	1308	0.53	1384	0.04	1306	0.06	1294	0.03	1363	0.01
o ring C-H rock.	1309	1302	0.06	1289	0.04	1373	0.43	1298	0.19	1289	0.26	1360	0.1
ν C-OH str.	1283	1275	0.44	1262	0.42	1320	0.28	1296	0.09	1288	0.07	1343	0
ν N-S = C str.	1265	1240	0.09	1229	0.07	1299	0.14	1289	0.09	1279	0.05	1332	0.34
ρ O-H + C-H rock.	1253	1224	0.1	1212	0.13	1292	0.01	1251	0.37	1238	0.34	1283	0.19
ν S = C str.	-	1204	0.49	1196	0.5	1270	0.05	1227	0.41	1215	0.32	1276	0.27
ν C-CH ₃ str.	-	1184	0.03	1174	0.02	1258	0.04	1223	0.1	1213	0.18	1259	0.25
ρ O-H + C-H rock.	-	1172	0.12	1162	0.12	1248	0.4	1193	0.02	1183	0.03	1244	0.01
ρ C-H rock.	-	1165	0.01	1157	0.05	1240	0.03	1180	0.26	1173	0.24	1217	0.01
ρ C-H rock.	-	1162	0.04	1152	0.01	1234	0.28	1165	0.03	1155	0.02	1208	0.06
ο C-H rock	-	-	-	1116	0.08	1197	0.47	1151	0.06	1142	0.06	1194	0.18
ρ C-H rock.	-	1123	0.08	1088	0.05	1172	0.06	1123	0.01	1115	0.01	1156	0
ν N-N str.	-	1095	0.06	1081	0.22	1156	0.01	1103	0.02	1093	0.02	1148	0.02
ν ring C-C str	-	1088	0.25	1059	0	1130	0.07	1097	0.05	1090	0.06	1113	0.06
ν ring C-C str.	1050	1068	0	1046	0.04	1126	0.04	1062	0.01	1056	0.01	1091	0
ν C-ring C str.	-	1053	0.05	1036	0.02	1101	0.01	1034	0.04	1020	0.02	1085	0.04
β CH ₂ bend	-	1048	0.02	1009	0.03	1080	0	1028	0.03	1020	0.03	1055	0.03
β ring bend	-	1017	0.03	1003	0.05	1068	0.01	1012	0	1006	0.01	1044	0.02
β ring bend	-	1011	0.04	996	0	1064	0.01	984	0.01	979	0.01	1028	0
β ring CH out	-	1000	0	982	0	1061	0.07	981	0	969	0	1019	0
of plane bend.													
β ring CCC bend.	-	986	0.02	979	0.02	1050	0.03	945	0.03	952	0	1015	0

Table 2 (continued)

Assignments	FT-IR	HF						B3LYP					
	$[7] (cm^{-1})$	6–31G(6–31G(d)		G(d.p)	LANL2DZ		6–31G(d)		6–31 + G(d.p)		LANL2DZ	
		Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b	Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b	Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b	Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b	Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b	Scaled freq. ^a	I _{Rel. int.} b
β ring CH out	955	981	0	970	0.01	1044	0	944	0	942	0.02	1014	0.01
of plane bend.													
β CH ₃ bend.	-	977	0	967	0.01	1042	0	927	0	941	0.01	996	0
β ring CH out of plane bend.	-	974	0.02	959	0.01	1037	0	924	0	925	0.01	993	0
β ring CH out of	-	966	0	947	0.04	1003	0.03	913	0.01	922	0	970	0.02
β hetero-ring hend	_	952	0.05	867	0.01	949	0.02	839	0.01	840	0.01	901	0
β ring CH out of	-	873	0.03	838	0	916	0.02	818	0.05	814	0.04	877	0
β ring CH out of	-	844	0	827	0.07	900	0.08	814	0	811	0	860	0.03
o ring CH wag	_	832	0.05	808	0.07	849	0.09	805	0.03	802	0.04	837	0.06
β ring HC-CH-CH	-	813	0.07	772	0.02	836	0.08	787	0.01	782	0.01	813	0.17
β ring HC-CH-CH bend.	758	778	0.02	-	-	821	0.29	744	0.09	742	0.08	809	0.01
$\rho_{\rm w}$ ring CH wag.	748	770	0.09	765	0.11	815	0.02	714	0.05	727	0.05	788	0.41
ρ _w ring HC-C-OH	720	754	0.2	750	0.19	775	0.07	706	0.04	703	0.04	771	0
$\rho_{\rm w}$ ring HC-CN-C	709	718	0.06	713	0.06	771	0.08	684	0.03	684	0.09	749	0.03
$\rho_{\rm w}$ ring HC-CN-C out of plane wag.	696	712	0.03	708	0.04	712	0.03	669	0	667	0	720	0
$\rho_{\rm w}$ hetero-ring HN-S = C wag.	651	676	0.02	669	0.03	700	0.01	647	0.04	653	0.2	692	0
β ring bend.	-	664	0	660	0	692	0.58	628	0.06	637	0.03	665	0.02
β ring bend.	635	627	0.01	622	0.02	665	0.04	623	0.03	624	0.06	647	0.01
$\rho_{\rm w}$ ring HC-CH ₃ -CH in plane wag.	-	617	0.13	613	0.13	648	0.13	597	0.31	619	0.02	643	0.07
$\rho_{\rm w}$ ring HC-CH ₃ -CH out of plane wag.	606	588	0.08	585	0.08	622	0.1	590	0.07	588	0.08	612	0.09
β ring bend.	-	547	0.04	544	0.02	612	0.38	551	0.01	549	0.01	604	0.2
ρ _w N-H wag.	-	543	0.26	537	0.15	575	0.01	531	0.03	533	0.02	566	0.01
$\rho_{\rm w}$ N-H + ring C-H wag.	-	528	0.12	522	0.16	573	0.01	-	-	-	-	555	0
$\rho_{\rm w}$ ring C-H wag.	-	511	0.01	507	0.02	535	0.01	512	0	509	0	527	0.01
ρ _w O-H wag.	-	492	0.01	494	0.15	508	0.01	492	0.01	488	0.01	498	0
$\rho_{\rm w}$ O-H + ring C-H wag.	-	480	0.09	480	0.06	487	0.02	483	0.11	482	0.07	483	0
β O-H bend.	-	464	0.2	464	0.11	461	0.03	455	0.06	455	0.06	459	0.02
ρ C-OH rock.	-	443	0.03	441	0.02	-	-	451	0.01	447	0.02	-	-
β ring bend.	-	413	0	411	0	443	0.01	409	0.02	410	0.02	437	0.02
τ hetero-ring-CH ₃	-	409	0.03	408	0.02	439	0.01	405	0.01	404	0	424	0

 $\overline{\ }^{a}$ Scaled frequencies are in unit (1/cm); b I_{rel. inten.} are in unit km mol⁻¹.

Assignments of the chemical shift values

DFT and HF methods differ in that no electron correlation effects are taken into account in HF. DFT methods treat the electronic energy as a function of the electron density of all electrons simultaneously and thus include electron correlation effect. Explicitly, we have considered also of interest to investigate the influence of the level used for the geometry optimization on the final value of the title compound when GIAO ¹³C and ¹H c.s. calculations have been performed.



Fig. 2 (a) Simulated (HF and B3LYP levels) IR spectra of the title compound ($C_{15}H_{13}N_3OS$) (b) FT-IR spectrum of the title compound ($C_{15}H_{13}N_3OS$) [7]

Thus, GIAO ¹³C and ¹H c.s. calculations have been carried out using the B3LYP with 6-31G(d), 6-31 + G(d,p) and LANL2DZ basis sets for the optimized geometry. The results of these calculations are tabulated in Table 3. The

theoretical ¹H chemical shift values (with respect to TMS) of the title compound are generally compared to the experimental ¹H chemical shift values [7]. These results are shown in Table 3. ¹H chemical shift values were experimentally observed [7] but ¹³C chemical shift values were not observed. Besides, experimental ¹H chemical shift values were not available for individual hydrogen. Therefore, only ¹H chemical shift values are compared to theoretical results. We have calculated ¹H chemical shift values (with respect to TMS) of 9.61-2.39, 9-42-2.55 and 8.14-1.78 ppm with HF levels, and 8.98-2.16, 10.24-2.12 and 7.57-1.65 ppm with B3LYP levels. These variations were observed to be 13.95-2.1 ppm [7], these values are depicted in Table 3, and so the accuracy ensures reliable interpretation of spectroscopic parameters. Also, the difference is related to bond lengths in the between hydrogen and other atoms. As can be seen from Fig. 1, molecular structure of the title compound includes hydroxyl and methyl bounded to aromatic rings. The hydroxyl group include oxygen atom which shows electronegative property, and so H atom contribute to the downfield resonance. Besides, the H chemical shift of SH was experimentally observed to be 13.95 ppm [7]. This statement was not theoretically distinguished. This statement results from thiol-thione tautomerism at the triazole ring. In different substituent-1,2,4-triazole, the H chemical shift of N-H were observed to be 11.33–13.56 ppm [46]. This chemical shift has been obtained to be ~8.7 and ~8.1 ppm via all calculations. The other aromatic-H was observed to be 6.92-7.64 ppm [7]. This statement has been calculated 6.49–7.91, 7.03-8.42 and 6.72-8.03 using HF levels, and 6.24-7.36, 6.60-7.69 and 6.42-7.66 using B3LYP levels. Furthermore, the ¹³C chemical shift values (with respect to TMS) for all calculations have range ~185.0 from ~22.0 ppm in the average. The chemical shift values of C1 and C8 atoms bounded hydroxyl group and sulphur atom have been calculated at 160.98 and 190.76, 154.70 and 184.90, and 161.93 and 204.07 ppm HF levels and 151.69 and 166.73, 155.77 and 170.58, and 158.36 and 182.61 ppm B3LYP levels, respectively (in Table 3). This statement is attributed to the presence of delocalization between the lone pair on atom N(3) and C(1) = S(1) double bond, and also to electronic factors associated with the large electron density substituents. Average chemical shift values of the other aromatic-C atoms have been found to be ~120.0, ~117.0 and ~127.0 ppm via HF levels and ~114.0, ~107.0 and ~113.0 via B3LYP levels. As can be seen from Table 3, theoretical ¹H chemical shift results of the title compound are generally closer to the experimental ¹H chemical shift data.

Thermodynamic parameters of the title compound

Several thermodynamic parameters have been calculated using HF and B3LYP with the same basis sets as and are

Atom	Exp. (ppm) [7]	Calculated chemical shift (ppm)								
	$(DMSO-d_6)$	HF			B3LYP					
		6–31G(d)	6-31 + G(d,p)	LANL2DZ	6–31G(d)	6-31 + G(d,p)	LANL2DZ			
C1	-	160.98	154.70	161.93	151.69	155.77	158.36			
C2	-	115.28	112.75	123.83	111.84	115.07	121.06			
C3	-	137.21	133.45	142.43	125.21	128.45	132.23			
C4	-	113.18	111.63	121.62	110.80	113.90	119.27			
C5	-	132.36	129.91	137.54	121.81	124.68	127.81			
C6	-	104.53	103.80	110.13	103.66	108.60	108.0			
C7	-	157.52	149.11	158.81	144.03	147.27	152.18			
C8	-	190.76	184.90	204.07	166.73	170.58	182.61			
С9	-	130.51	127.85	134.72	128.01	132.22	134.73			
C10	-	132.82	130.09	139.73	124.63	127.52	132.32			
C11	-	128.44	125.06	134.45	123.97	126.98	130.92			
C12	-	140.44	139.60	148.17	133.78	139.06	141.11			
C13	-	128.20	124.50	134.09	123.96	127.14	131.02			
C14	-	130.51	127.29	136.49	122.09	125.17	129.16			
C15	-	20.51	17.67	21.79	22.10	23.83	26.59			
H ₃ (-CH ₃ -Ar)	2.1	2.63	3.16	2.47	2.63	2.79	2.31			
		2.49	2.79	2.06	2.24	2.43	1.84			
		2.39	2.55	1.78	2.16	2.12	1.65			
H2 (Ar-H)	6.92-7.64	7.23	7.79	7.73	6.76	7.25	7.29			
H3 (Ar-H)	6.92-7.64	7.69	8.08	7.75	7.05	7.26	7.26			
H4 (Ar-H)	6.92-7.64	6.49	7.03	6.84	6.24	6.60	6.52			
H5 (Ar-H)	6.92-7.64	6.90	7.47	6.72	6.30	6.79	6.42			
H10 (Ar-H)	6.92-7.64	7.91	8.42	8.03	7.36	7.69	7.60			
H11 (Ar-H)	6.92-7.64	7.69	8.21	8.03	7.32	7.69	7.66			
H13 (Ar-H)	6.92-7.64	7.45	7.79	7.58	7.15	7.47	7.42			
H14 (Ar-H)	6.92-7.64	7.23	7.45	6.99	6.77	7.04	6.95			
H (OH)	10.02	9.61	7.95	6.52	8.98	10.24	7.57			
H (NH)	-	8.54	9.42	8.14	7.97	8.75	7.57			
H (SH)	13.95	-	-	-	-	-	-			

Table 3 Theoretical and experimental ${}^{13}C$ and ${}^{1}H$ isotropic chemical shifts (with respect to TMS, all values in ppm) for the title compound ($C_{15}H_{13}N_{3}OS$)

Table 4 Calculated energies (a.u), zero-point vibrational energies (kcal mol^{-1}), rotational constants (GHz), entropies (cal $mol^{-1} K^{-1}$) and dipole moment (D) for the title compound (C₁₅H₁₃N₃OS)

Parameters		HF			B3LYP			
		6–31G(d)	6–31 + G(d,p)	LANL2DZ	6–31G(d)	6–31 + G(d,p)	LANL2DZ	
Dipole moment		5.0729	4.9842	5.2645	3.9421	3.9709	4.3397	
Zero-point vibrationalenergy		157.858	156.590	161.702	157.632	160.31481	161.15033	
Total energy		-1211.31775	-1211.36704	-823.45067	-1211.09967	-1217.15465	-828.88075	
Rotational constants		0.39559	0.39608	0.40495	0.40279	0.40607	0.40883	
		0.27598	0.27465	0.26150	0.26463	0.26166	0.25096	
		0.17748	0.17730	0.17048	0.17074	0.17004	0.16477	
Entropy								
	Rotational	30.589	30.329	31.752	34.185	34.142	34.208	
	Translational	38.444	38.109	39.823	42.884	42.820	42.820	
	Vibrational	49.902	49.629	51.443	53.952	59.203	59.462	
	Total	118.935	118.067	123.018	131.021	136.165	136.490	

given in Table 4. Accurate predictions of zero-point vibrational energy (ZPVE) and the entropy ($S_{vib}(T)$) require scaling the data [44]. The total energies and the change in the total entropy of the title compound at room temperature at different basis sets and theoretical methods are also presented. In previously works, the dipole moment and ZPE energies values of some molecules which included 1,2,3/ 1,2,4-triazole core were obtained to be ~ 3.0–6.0 D and ~ 155.0–476.0 kJ mol⁻¹ [47, 48]. These results are important to test the reliability of our results. As regard as, the results of the energies, dipole moment, entropy and ZPE can be used to the new synthesis of some molecules which include 1,2,4-triazole core.

Conclusions

In this study, to test the HF and DFT level of theory with different basis sets reported, computed and experimental geometric parameters, vibrational frequencies, chemical shifts and several thermodynamic parameters of the title compound have been compared. To fit the theoretical frequency, zeropoint vibrational energy and the entropy results with experimental ones for HF and B3LYP levels, we have multiplied the data. Multiplication factors results gained seemed to be in a good agreement with experimental ones. The B3LYP levels which have level of theory to include the effects of electroncorrelation have shown better fit to the experimental ones than those of HF levels in terms of evaluate geometric parameters, vibrational frequencies and chemical shifts. An intramolecular O-H…N hydrogen bond occurs between the hydroxyphenyl group and the triazole N atom. Besides, the triazole ring is attributed to the presence of delocalization between the lone pair on atom N(3) and C(8) = S(1) double bound, and also to electronic factors associated with the large electron density substituents. Further variation in different positions of the aromatic-substituent groups and the π -conjugation network containing the 1,2,4-triazole ring in the core structure is necessary for reaching a concrete inference.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Sakarya University for financial support (P.N: 2007.02.02.001- BAP)

References

- 1. Jones DH, Slack R, Squires S, Wooldridge KRH (1965) J Med Chem 8:676–680
- Mullican MD, Wilson MW, Connor DT, Kostlan CR, Schrier DJ, Dyer RD (1993) J Med Chem 36:1090–1099
- 3. Porsolt RD, Bertin A, Jalfre M (1977) Arch Int Pharmacol 229:327–336
- 4. Shams El-Dine SA, Hazzaa AAB (1974) Pharmazie 29:761-768

- 5. Sughen JK, Yoloye T (1978) Pharm Acta Helv 58:64-68
- Unangst PC, Shurum GP, Connor DT, Dyer RD, Schrier DJ (1992) J Med Chem 35:3691–3698
- Genç S, Dege N, Çetin A, Cansız A, Şekerci M, Dinçer M (2004) Acta Cryst E60:01339–01341
- Zamani K, Faghihi K, Sangi MR, Zolgharnein J (2003) Turk J Chem 27:119–125
- 9. Cansız A, Koparır M, Demirdağ A (2004) Molecules 9:204-212
- 10. Aydogan F, Turgut Z, Olcay N, Erdem SS (2002) Turk J Chem 26:159–169
- Charistos DD, Vagenes GV, Tzavellas LC, Tsoleridis CA, Rodios NA (1994) J Heterocycl Chem 31:1593–1598
- Genç S, Dege N, Yılmaz I, Cukurovalı A, Dinçer M (2004) Acta Cryst E60:0889–0891
- 13. Wujec M, Paneth P (2007) J Phys Org Chem 20:1043-1049
- Casanovas J, Namba AM, Leon S, Aquino GLB, da Silva GVJ, Aleman C (2001) J Org Chem 66:3775–3782
- Sebag AB, Forsyth DA, Plante MA (2001) J Org Chem 66:7967– 7973
- Chesnut DB in Reviews in Computational Chemistry, vol. 8 (Eds: K. B. Lipkowitz, D. B. Boyd) VCH New York, 1996; ch. 5: p. 245
- de Dios AC (1996) Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc 29:229– 278
- 18. Forsyth DA, Sebag AB (1997) J Am Chem Soc 119:9483-9494
- 19. Helgaker T, Jaszunski M, Ruud K (1999) Chem Rev 99:293-352
- 20. Ditchfield R (1972) J Chem Phys 56(11):5688-5691
- 21. Wolinski K, Hinton JF, Pulay P (1990) J Am Chem Soc 112 (23):8251-8260
- Cheeseman JR, Trucks GW, Keith TA, Frisch MJ (1996) J Chem Phys 104(14):5497–5509
- Cimino P, Gomez-Paloma L, Duca D, Riccio R, Bifulco G (2004) Magn Reson Chem 42:26–33
- Friesner RA, Murphy RB, Beachy MD, Ringnalda MN, Pollard WT, Dunietz BD, Cao Y (1999) J Phys Chem A 103(13):1913–1928
- 25. Rulisek L, Havlas Z (2003) Int J Quantum Chem 91:504-510
- 26. Ziegler T (1997) Chem Mater Sci 69
- 27. Rauhut G, Puyear S, Wolinski K, Pulay P (1996) J Phys Chem 100(15):6310-6316
- Ditchfield R, Hehre WJ, Pople JA (1971) J Chem Phys 54 (2):724–728
- Frisch MJ, Pople JA, Binkley JS (1984) J Chem Phys 80:3265– 3269
- 30. Hay PJ, Wadt WR (1985) J Chem Phys 82:270-283
- 31. Wadt WR, Hay PJ (1985) J Chem Phys 82:284-298
- 32. Hay PJ, Wadt WR (1985) J Chem Phys 82:299-310
- 33. Ditchfield R (1974) Mol Phys 27(4):789-807
- 34. Rohlfing CM, Allen LC, Ditchfield R (1984) Chem Phys 87(1):9-15
- Frisch A, Nielsen AB, Holder AJ, Gaussview User Manual, Gaussian Inc., Pittsburg, 2001
- 36. Gaussian 98, (Revision A.9), Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, Zakrzewski VG, Montgomery JA Jr, Stratmann RE, Burant JC, Dapprich S, Millam JM, Daniels AD, Kudin KN, Strain MC, Farkas O, Tomasi J, Barone V, Cossi M, Cammi R, Mennucci B, Pomelli C, Adamo C, Clifford S, Ochterski J, Petersson GA, Ayala PY, Cui Q, Morokuma K, Malick DK, Rabuck AD, Raghavachari K, Foresman JB Cioslowski J, Ortiz JV, Baboul AG, Stefanov BB, Liu G, Liashenko A, Piskorz P, Komaromi I, Gomperts R, Martin RL, Fox DJ, Keith T, Al-Laham MA, Peng CY, Nanayakkara A, Gonzalez C, Challacombe M, Gill PMW, Johnson BG, Chen W, Wong MW, Andres JL, Head-Gordon M, Replogle ES, Pople JA, Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgs PA, 2001
- Jian FF, Bai ZS, Li K, Xiao HL (2005) Acta Cryst E61:o393– o395
- Zhao PS, Xu JM, Zhang WG, Jian FF, Zhang L (2007) Struct Chem 18:993–1000

- 39. Lee SY (1998) Bull Korean Chem Soc 19(1):93–98
- 40. Wheeless CJM, Zou X, Liu R (1995) J Phys Chem 99(33):12488– 12492
- 41. Lee SY, Boo BH (1996) J Phys Chem 100(37):15073-15078
- 42. Lee SY, Boo BH (1996) J Phys Chem 100(21):8782-8785
- Atalay Y, Avcı D, Başoğlu A, Okur İ (2005) J Mol Struct THEOCHEM 713:21–26
- 44. Scott AP, Radom L (1996) J Phys Chem 100:16502-16513
- Palafox MA, Gill M, Nunez NJ, Rastogi VK, Mittal L, Sharma R (2005) Int J Quant Chem 103:394–421
- 46. Vainilavicius P, Smicius R, Jakubkiene V, Tumkevicius S (2001) Manatshefte für Chemie 132:825–831
- Abboud J-LM, Foces-Foces C, Notario R, Trifonov RE, Volovodenko AP, Ostrovskii VA, Alkorta I, Elguero J (2001) Eur J Org Chem 3013–3024
- 48. Palmer MH, Christen D (2004) J Mol Struct 705:177-187